

Association of socioeconomic factors and academic performance on medical students entering primary care specialties

Shilpa Kolachina¹, Amy Wozniak², Emanuel Eguia³, Elizabeth Miller¹, Mary Eguia⁴

Results

¹Loyola University Chicago Stritch School of Medicine, Maywood, IL; ²LUMC Center for Translational Research and Education, ³LUMC Department of Surgery; ⁴LUMC Department of Family Medicine

Introduction

It has been increasingly established that there is a current shortage of primary care physicians (PCPs) in the United States with a need for approximately 50.000 additional PCPs to meet population needs by the year 2025.[1] In fact, data collected from the AAMC Graduation Questionnaire longitudinally across many US medical schools demonstrate a decrease in proportion of students pursuing primary care specialties as well as obstetrics-gynecology. [2] Therefore, it is important to understand the socio-demographic profile of students entering primary care specialties and to determine whether various aspects of academic profile also play a role in entering primary care specialties. These associations can then be used by faculty mentors to better guide interested students towards the fields of family medicine, general pediatrics, general internal medicine, and combined internal medicine/pediatrics.

Factors that have been highly and consistently associated with primary care as a specialty choice in prior literature include: female gender, rural background, belonging to an underrepresented minority population, specifically African American or Hispanic, required family medicine clerkship during third year of medical school, and desire to work with underserved populations. [3]

Objectives

In this study, we specifically aim to:

Identify any statistically significant associations of sociodemographic factors and academic performance on students entering primary care specialties, specifically utilizing the Loyola University Chicago Stritch School of Medicine (LUC-SSOM) institutional data from medical students that graduated between 2013-2019. We hope to determine the latter through the following goals:

Identify associations between sociodemographic factors including age, gender, race, marital status, number of children, family income, and disadvantaged/economically disadvantaged status on medical school application on entering primary care specialties.

Identify associations between academic performance on LUC- SSOM preclinical coursework final numerical grades and entering primary care specialties

Demonstrate any associations between overall LUC-SSOM clerkship scores and entering primary care specialties

Determine whether certain specialties are associated with a higher USMLE Step 1 score(s) or a difference in failure rate of USMLE Step 1, especially considering the new pass or fail change in scoring methodology



AOA: Alpha Omega Alpha Honor Medical Society; EO: The AAMC SES EO indicator classifies applicants into five ordered groups (EO-1 through EO-5) based on four aggregated categories of parental education and two aggregated categories of occupation [4]; Disadvantaged: option to sell identify as disadvantaged in the American Medical College Application Service (AMCAS) primary application [5]

After adjusting for all covariates, it was found that being female was associated with 48.3% increased odds of entering a primary care specialty (OR 1.483, 95% CI 1.129, 1.947, p = 0.00463) On the other end, each unit increase in Step 1 score was associated with 2.2% decreased odds of entering a primary care specialty (OR 0.978, 95% CI 0.969, 0.988, p=0.00001). However, it was found that there were no statistically significant associations between USMLE Step 1 failure rates and entering primary care or non-primary care specialties.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study comparing students that entered primary care vs. other specialties. Our sample consisted of institutional data from Loyola University Chicago Stritch School of Medicine (LUC- SSOM) medical students graduating between 2013-2019; data was obtained from the Health Sciences Division Clinical Research Office A total of 993 alumni were included in the cohort. All students that had successfully matched into a specialty were included in the analysis. Primary care specialties were defined as the fields of Internal Medicine, Pediatrics, Family Medicine, and Internal Medicine/Pediatrics. All other specialties were categorized in a separate group, labeled non-primary care. Multivariable odds ratios in Figure 1 were estimated using a logistic regression model with entering primary care vs. non-primary care specialties as the outcome. All risk factors included in the vertical column were the covariates. Adjusted odds ratios in Figure 2 were calculated using a logistic regression model with entering primary care vs. non-primary care specialties as the outcome and score(s) as main predictor of interest while adjusting for all covariates in Figure 1.

References

Peterson, S. M., Liaw, W. R., Phillios, R. L., Rabin, D. L., Mevers, D. S., & Bazemore, A. W. (2012), Projecting US Primary Care Physician Workforce Needs; 2010-2025. The Annals of Family Medicine, 10(6), 503-509, doi: 10.1370/afm.1431 J defed by the second s 888-894 doi: 10 1097/acm 0b013e31812f797e

[3] Bennett, K. L., & Philips, J. P. (2010). Finding. Recruiting, and Statishing the Future Primary Care Physician Workforce: A New Treetical Model of Speciality Choice Process. Academic Medicine, 85. doi: 10.1097/zem.0b013x10164bbaae (4) Grider D., Jones DT. Berlei of Spocearonomic Status in Medical School Admission: Yacid Medical School Admission: Academic Medical School Admission: Adm mic Status Disadvantaged Indicator. (n.d.). Retrieved September 23, 2020, from https://www.aamc.org/services/amcas-for-institutions/processing-tools/resources-reports/socioeo omic-status-disadvantage indicator?fbcid=lwAR0al IYEGTI IGBaXtl IWP:ths.lsKaSkarPd.IPr35rd8mQu-wWsib0b_Wm2ERTs

[6] Erikson, Clese E., MPAff; Danish, Sana, MPP; Jones, Karen C., MApStat; Sandberg, Shana F., PhD; Carle, Adam C., PhD The Role of Medical School Culture in Primary Care Career Choice, Academic Medicine: December 2013 - Volume 88 - Issue 12 - p 1919-1926 doi: 1097/ACM 00000000000000

Figure 2: Adjusted Odds Ratios for LUC-SSOM Pre-clinical and Clinical Coursework



MCRG: Molecular Cell Biology Genetics: SHB: Structure of the Human Body: FHB: Function of the Human Body: HD: Hos Defense: MHD: Mechanisms of Human Disease: *All Courses below Pharmacy II are Clinical Courses

After adjusting for the covariates, it was found that there were no statistically significant associations between increasing pre-clinical course scores and entering primary care or non-primary specialties. As depicted in Figure 2, it was found that each unit increase on the NBME Surgery shelf exam was associated with a 2.1% decreased odds of entering a primary care specialty. (OR 0.979, CI 0.962, 0.996, p=0.017). On the other end, each unit increase on the Medicine, Pediatrics, Psychiatry, and ICU clerkship exams was associated with a 4.1%, 5.6%, 5.3%, and 3.6% increased odds of entering a primary specialty, respectively. (OR 1.041 CI 1.005, 1.078, p=0.025), (OR 1.056, CI 1.025, 1.088, p=0.000), (OR 1.053, CI 1.007, 1.102, p=0.024), (OR 1.036, CI 1.014, 1.059, p=0.002)

Conclusion

Apart from the lower USMLE Step 1 scores that were found to be inversely associated with entering a primary care specialty, this study highlights that students entering PC specialties perform at the same level or even higher on certain clinical clerkship exams than students entering non-PC specialties at LUC-SSOM

The Association of American Medical Colleges Center for Workforce Studies conducted a crosssectional survey study in 2010; it was found that fourth-year medical students attending a medical school known to speak unfavorably about primary care were 40% less likely to enter into a primary care specialty.[6] This highlights the importance of how medical school culture plays a role in influencing students' decisions.[6] These trends highlight that there are very minimal differences in pre-clinical and clinical academic performance between students entering primary care and nonprimary care specialties at LUC-SSOM.

One limitation of our study is that we included all students that matched into internal medicine. pediatrics, and internal medicine/pediatrics into the the primary care pool; several of these students may have gone on to subspecialize

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Loyola University Chicago Health Sciences Division-Clinical Research Office and Institutional Review Board for their assistance with this project.